

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/657,875	875 09/09/2003		Chien-Ming Cheng	LELI 3495	2089
321	7590	12/14/2004		EXAM	INER
SENNIGE:	R POWE	RS LEAVITT AN	IM, JUNC	IM, JUNGHWA M	
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE 16TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS,	MO 631	02		2811	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/657,875	CHENG ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Junghwa M. Im	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer and the correction is objected to by the Examiner and the correction of the corr	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/657,875

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spitz et al. (US 6060776), hereinafter Spitz. in view of Spitz et al. (US 6667545), hereinafter Spitz'545.

Regarding claim 1, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows a diode (100) comprising a connecting means (8;a wire) and a heat sink base (a region below the wire 8);

said connecting means comprising a flat end (7) fixed at a die (4) and the other end having no fixed shape;

said heat sink base comprising;

- a base (2) which is on the bottom of the heat sink base;
- a press-fit region (11) which is around said base (2);
- a solder platform (3) which is above said base;
- a die (4) which has a first side and a second side electrically coupled to said flat end (7) and said solder platform (3), respectively;
- a shoulder (15) which is extended acclivitously from said solder platform, the root of said shoulder connected to said solder platform having a kink; and
 - a cup (9) which is extended upwardly from the periphery of said base (2);

Application/Control Number: 10/657,875

Art Unit: 2811

characterized in that said solder platform has a kink and that the combination of said acclivitous shoulder and the kink can absorbed the stress generated by the package (13) and provide a longer path for moisture to reach the die, thereby preventing moisture from reaching the die directly even if moisture enters the gap existing between the shoulder and the passivation film (14).

Fig. 1 of Spitz shows substantially the entire claimed structure except the packaging is epoxy. Fig. 1 of Spitz-645 shows an epoxy packaging (40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Spitz'545 to the device of Spitz in order to have the package formed with epoxy since epoxy is well known and readily available packaging material.

Note that a functional recitation of "the combination of said acclivitous shoulder and the kink can absorbed the stress generated by the package and provide a longer path for moisture to reach the die, thereby preventing moisture from reaching the die directly even if moisture enters the gap existing between the shoulder and the passivation film" would not have carried patentable weight because it is narrative in form. In order to be given patentable weight, a functional recitation must be expressed as a "means" for performing the specified function, as set forth in 35 USC \$112, 6th paragraph, and must be supported by recitation in the claim of sufficient structure to warrant the presence of the functional language. *In re Fuller*, 1929 C.D. 172; 388 O.G. 279.

In addition, Spitz discloses that the combination of the acclivitous shoulder and the kink can absorb the stress generated by the package (col. 2, lines 58-62).

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 6 of Spitz-645 shows said shoulder has a height which is substantially the same as said die.

Also, note that Figures 3-5 of Spitz'535 show of a shoulder with a various height and an angle. Therefore, a variation in the height of the shoulder would have been obvious matter of an optimized design configuration since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPO 47 (CCPA 1966).

Regarding claim 3, Spitz discloses said connecting means is a lead wire (col. 3, line 22).

Regarding claim 4, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows the diode further comprises two solder layers (5a) and (5b) which sandwich said die (4) above and under, respectively.

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows the diode further comprises passivative material (14) used to surround said wafer (4).

Regarding claim 6, Spitz'545 discloses the diode further comprises a resin (44; col. 3, line 11) for surrounding outside said passivative material (38).

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows the diode further comprises a sheath (12) for surrounding the encapsulating material (13) inside said cup.

Regarding claim 8, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows further comprises a sheath (12) for surrounding the encapsulating material (13) outside said cup (9).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claim have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,875

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmi

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800